

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,959	06/23/2003	Chang-Hyeon Lee	050324-1321	8906
24504	7590 09/17/2004		EXAM	INER
THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP			CUNNINGHAM, TERRY D	
STE 1750	RIA PARKWAY, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ATLANTA,	GA 30339-5948		2816	
			DATE MAILED: 09/17/200-	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/601,959	LEE ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Terry D. Cunningham	2816			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period into the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a r oly within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 /</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matt	·			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-11,13-25,28-30 and 32-38 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-11,13-18,20-24,28-30 and 32-38 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 19 and 25 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 August 2004</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ ob e drawing(s) be held in abeyar ction is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document according to the priority document according to the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureacce the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in A prity documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)					
2)	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Application/Control Number: 10/601,959 Page 2

Art Unit: 2816

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 02 August 2004 has been entered.

## Summary of changes in this action

- I. The objection to the drawings has been overcome responsive to the drawing amendment filed 02 August 2004.
- II. The enablement issues has been overcome responsive the amendment.
- III. Due to the claim amendments, new rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103 are included herein.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 33-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 33, there is no support for the recited "first cascode transistor pair".

Claims 34-37 are rejected as including the indefiniteness discussed above with claim 33.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2816

Claims 28-30, 32-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kawasaki (USPN 5,955,904).

With respect to claims 30, 32-38, Kawasaki discloses, in Figs. 3, 4 and 5 (note, Figs. 4 and 5 are elements 42 and 52, respectively, of Fig. 3), a circuit comprising: "a first transistor pair, comprising a first switching transistor (50) and a first complementary transistor (51)"; "a first control signal (CLK)"; "a constant reference voltage (Vref)"; "a second transistor pair, comprising a second switching transistor (63) and a second complementary transistor (64)"; "a second control signal (CKE)"; and "a first current sink (52)", all connected and operating similarly as recited by Applicant.

With respect to claims 28-30, clearly the above circuit to Kawasaki will provide the recited method.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 8-11, 13-18, 20-24, 28-30 and 32-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee (USPN 5,889,437) in view of Abdi et al. (USPN 5,722,052).

With respect to claims 1, 8-11, 13-18, 20-24, 30 and 32-39, Lee discloses, in Fig. 7, a circuit comprising: "a first input stage" having "a first input transistor (M41)", "a first complementary transistor (M42)", "a first discharging transistor (M45)" and "a charging

Art Unit: 2816

transistor (M47)"; "a first control signal (UP)"; "a first reference signal ( $\overline{UP}$ )"; "a second input stage" having "a second input transistor (M43)", "a second complementary transistor (M44)", "a second discharging transistor (M46)" and "a charging transistor (M50)"; "a second control signal (DN)"; "a second reference signal ( $\overline{DN}$ )"; "a loop filter (R1, C1, C2)"; and "a second output terminal (Vo-)". The reference to Lee discloses using complementary signals (UP,  $\overline{UP}$ , DN,  $\overline{DN}$ ) rather than using a single-ended signal and constant reference voltage. However, it is notoriously well known, as is disclosed by Abdi et al., complementary signals and a single-ended signal with a constant reference voltage (e.g., VREF) for use with a comparator are art-recognized equivalents and can be used in a charge pump circuit. The configuration of a single-ended signal and constant reference voltage is well known as having the advantage of being usable with a phase detector that generates a single-ended signal. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to use a single-ended signal and constant reference voltage in place of the complementary signal for the expected advantage of being usable with a single-ended phase detector.

Claims 2-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee (USPN 5,889,437) in view of Abdi et al. (USPN 5,722,052) and Ohashi (USPN 6,215,336).

With respect to claims 2-7, in the above combination of Lee in view of Abdi et al., there is no express disclosure as to how the constant voltage VREF is generated. However, it is notoriously well known, such as disclosed by Ohashi that a common way of generating such a structure is to merely use a resistor divider. Such an arrangement has the advantage of having simple structure while still maintaining a stable voltage due to the high input impedance of the comparator. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to use a resistor

Application/Control Number: 10/601,959

Art Unit: 2816

divider to generate VREF in the above combination of Lee in view of Abdi et al. to obtain the

expected advantage of simple structure.

With respect to claims 28 and 29, clearly the above combination of Lee in view of Abdi

et al. will provide the recited method.

Claims 19 and 25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Terry Cunningham whose telephone number is 571-272-1742.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Timothy P. Callahan can be reached on 571-272-1740. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Terry D. Cunningham

Page 5

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2816

TC September 13, 2004